## **RESOLUTION LSA2012-002**

RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SAN PABLO, CALIFORNIA, ACTING AS SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY OF THE CITY OF SAN PABLO, ADOPTING RECOGNIZED OBLIGATIONS PAYMENT SCHEDULES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE SECTION 34177(L)

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Pablo ("Agency") was a redevelopment agency organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law (Health & Safety Code § 33000 *et seq.*; "CRL") and was authorized to transact business and exercise the powers of a redevelopment agency pursuant to action of the City Council of the City of San Pablo ("City Council" or "City," as applicable); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the CRL, on March 3, 1997, the City Council adopted (1) Ordinance No. 97-001 approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Tenth Township Redevelopment Project and (2) Ordinance No. 97-002 approving and adopting the Redevelopment Plan for the Legacy Redevelopment Project (together, the "Project Areas"); and

WHEREAS, in connection with the approval and adoption of the State Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12, the California Legislature approved, and the Governor signed (i) Assembly Bill 1X 26 (Stats. 2011, First Extraordinary Session, chap. 5, "AB1X 26"), which immediately suspended all otherwise legal redevelopment activities and incurrence of indebtedness, and created a procedure to dissolve all redevelopment agencies effective October 1, 2011 (the "Dissolution Act"); and (ii) Assembly Bill 1X 27 (Stats. 2011, First Extraordinary Session, chap. 6, "AB1X 27"), which allowed communities to avoid the consequences of the Dissolution Act and continue their redevelopment agency if the community agreed to comply with the alternative voluntary redevelopment program described in Section 2 of AB1X 27 (the "Alternative Redevelopment Program"); and

WHEREAS, the constitutionality of the Dissolution Act and Alternative Redevelopment Program was challenged by the filing of a Petition for Writ of Mandate in the California Supreme Court on July 18, 2011 (*California Redevelopment Association, et al., v. Ana Matosantos, et al.*, Case No. S194861) on behalf of California cities, counties and redevelopment agencies; and

WHEREAS, on December 29, 2011, the California Supreme Court upheld AB1X 26 and struck down AB1X 27, effectively ending redevelopment in the State of California, and, as part of its decision, generally reformed the timelines applicable to the Dissolution Act, extending most of them by 4 months; and

Resolution LSA2012-002 Page 1

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 2012-009 on January 9, 2012, formally electing that the City serve as the successor agency to the former Agency ("Successor Agency"), in which capacity the City will wind down the affairs of the Agency at the direction of an oversight board; and

WHEREAS, on February 1, 2012, pursuant to Section 34172 of the CRL, the former Agency was dissolved by operation of law, and pursuant to Section 34175(b), all assets, properties, contracts, leases, books and records, buildings and equipment of the former Agency were transferred to the control of the City, in its capacity as Successor Agency, for administration pursuant to the provisions of Part 1.85 of the CRL (Health and Safety Code Section 34170 *et seq.*); and

WHEREAS, CRL Section 34177(I) states that the successor agency adopt a ROPS for each six-month fiscal period of January 1 through June 30, and July 1 through December 31. The ROPS will function as the Successor's Agency claim for tax increment to pay enforceable obligations; and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency may only pay for obligations on the ROPS; and

WHEREAS, the City, acting as Successor Agency, has prepared an ROPS for the period January 1, 2012, through June 30, 2012 and July 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the ROPS has been submitted to the County Auditor-Controller: and

WHEREAS, the ROPS is subject to the approval of the oversight board of the Successor Agency, as soon as an oversight board, formed pursuant to CRL Section 34179, has been formed; and

WHEREAS, by April 15 the ROPS must be submitted to the State Department of Finance, the State Controller and posted on the Successor Agency's web site; and

WHEREAS, once all of the steps have been taken the ROPS is valid and payments can be made pursuant to the ROPS.

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of San Pablo, acting in its capacity as the Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Pablo, does hereby resolve as follows:

<u>Section 1</u>. <u>Recitals</u>. The Recitals set forth above are true and correct and incorporated herein.

Resolution LSA2012-002 Page 2

<u>Section 2</u>. <u>Adoption of the Enforceable Obligations Payment Schedule</u>. In accordance with CRL Section 34177(I) the City Council, acting as Successor Agency, hereby adopts a Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule, in the form attached to the staff report accompanying this resolution.

<u>Section 3.</u> <u>Posting and Transmittal.</u> The City Council, acting as Successor Agency, hereby authorizes and directs the City Manager to transmit the Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule to the Contra Costa County Auditor-Controller, State Controller, Department of Finance, and to the oversight board once it has been formed pursuant to CRL Section 34179.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of April, 2012, by the following vote:

AYES:

COUNCILMEMBERS:

Morris, Calloway, McNeil and Valdez

NOES:

COUNCILMEMBERS:

None

ABSENT:

**COUNCILMEMBERS:** 

None

ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:

None

ATTEST:

APPROVED:

Ted J. Denney, City Clerk

Cecilia Valdez, Mayor

## H:\EOPS ROPS\ROPS jan june 2012.xlsx

## RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE Per Health & Safety Code Section 34177(i) For the period January to June 2012

	11111		Total Outstanding Debt or Obligation / Principal & Interest	Total Due	Funding				Payments by month	honth	IV.	
Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Through Maturity	Current Amount	Source	Jan / ACT	Feb / ACT	Mar	Anr	Mav	· euil	Total
Senior Tax Allocation Bonds										(max)	2	-
1) Tenth TWP/ 1999 Tax Allocation Bond Series A	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	6,011,855.00	130,529.00	RPTTF						130 529 00 \$	130 529 00
2) JPFA / 2001 Tax Allocation Bond / Current Interest	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	7,458,002.50	128.143.00	RPTTE						-	108 143 00
3) JPFA / 2001 Tax Allocation Bond / CAB's	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	12,055,000.00								-	150,140,00
4) JPFA/ 2004 Tax Allocation Bond	Weils Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	49.782.581.18	733 036 00	APTTE						2 00 200 007	799 000 007
Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds											-	733,030,00
5) Tenth TWP/ 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Series A	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	46.606.442.00	957 429 00	APTTE	278 136 00	80 203 00	105 000 00	100 000 00	100 000 00	100,000,00	200 000
Non Bond Debt				200		20.001,012	00:000	00:000	20,000,00	00.000,001	00000000	00.824,108
6) Loan from LMIHF	LMIHF	Loan for 2009-10 SERAF Payment	5.865.000.00		RPTTE							
7) Loan from State of CA/ DOF	DOF	Loan for 2010-11 SERAF Payment	1,406,648,51	24 446 34	RPTTE				24 446 24		9 0	. AAA AC
Pass Through - Agreement and Statutory									10:01:1		9	*0.044,42
A decorate												
9) Bond Fees / Trustee / Consultant / Legal	Contra Costa County	Individual taxing entities.	27,180,205.00	249,678.00	RPTTF				249,678.00		\$	249,678.00
10) Trustee Fees	Wells Faron Bank	Trictes for BDA Bonds	000000	40,000,00	Lander							
11) Financial Consultant	Calchuall Flores 2 W.	Caldwall Flores & Wind Consultant 2006 Bonds (200 )	180,000.00	10,000.00	THILL			10,000.00			_	10,000.00
2) Laral Ease DDA	Mumbu 9 Ages DO	A LOCAL SALES SOON BOILDS (SOON + LOCA)	25,000.00	25,000.00	1						25,000.00 \$	25,000.00
3) Legal Fees Bond Tricks	Mollo Form Dool: P.C.	$\neg$	30,000.00	30,000.00	RPTTF			15,000.00	15,000.00		\$	30,000.00
14) Least Cons Dand Octavia	Wells raigo barin	ZOUG BOND I RUSTEE ATTOMES	20,000.00	20,000.00	RPTTF		100 COLUMN	Services of the services of th	20,000.00		69	20,000.00
Figure Social Course	Ornck, Hermogran	2006 Bond Counsel	25,000.00	25,000.00	RPTTF				25,000.00		S	25,000.00
Solution in the special counsel	Fulbright & Jaworski	Special Counsel for 2006 Bond	15,750.00	15,750.00	RPTTF				15,750.00		8	15,750.00
15) Legal Fees Bond Trustee	Union Bank	Letter of Credit Attorney 2006 Bond	20,000.00	20,000.00	RPTTF				20,000.00		69	20,000.00
Property Lax				CANADA AMERICAN DE								
7) Property Tax	Contra Costa County	Contra Costa County Property Tax RDA Property	35,000.00	35,000.00	ADMIN				20 Company of the Com		35.000.00	35.000.00
18) Property Tax	Roman Catholic Bish	Roman Catholic Bishog Property Tax for Willow MHP	26,000.00	26,000.00	ADMIN	12,910.00					+	26 000 00
Audit Fee											+	
19) Annual Audit	Maze & Associates	Audit	27.605.00	27.605.00	ADMIN	2 230 00					3 00 326 36	27 205 00
Administrative Fees											-	20,000,12
20) Administrative Fees	Successor Agency	5% of total	122.880.82	122 880 82	ADMIN			30 790 91	30 790 91	90 700 91	\$ 100000	20 000 001
21)								20,720,00	20,120,5	20,727,00	+	122,000.00
55)											9 4	
533)											9 (	
24)											9 4	-
55)											9	•
(98)									The second second		8	
											6	
Totals			4 10 000 000 000	1			1					
	220		00,000,000,000	2.580.497.15		S 293 276 00 S		C DEU COLO	80 202 UU 2 2 UU 2 2 U 2 U 2 U 2 U 2 U 2	0 100 200 001	1 215 802 21	O F CO A CO A CO

Redevelopment Agency of the City of San Pablo Tenth Township / Legacy

Name of Redevelopment Agency: Project Area(s)

## RECOGNIZED OBLIGATION PAYMENT SCHEDULE Per Health & Safety Code Section 34177(i) For the period July to December 2012

	ETI		Debt or Obligation /	Total Due	Funding				Payments by month	month	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
Project Name / Debt Obligation	Payee	Description	Principal & Interest	Current Amount	Source	July	August	September	October	November	Dacember	Total
Senior Tax Allocation Bonds						,				10011000	TO THE PARTY OF TH	10101
Tenth TWP/ 1999 Tax Allocation Bond Series A	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	5.881,326.00	775.529.00	APTTE						3 00 003 322	775 500 00
2) JPFA / 2001 Tax Allocation Bond / Current Interest	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	7.329.859.50	663 143 00	APTTE						00011000	170,028,000
3) JPFA / 2001 Tax Allocation Bond / CAB's	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	12,055,000.00								002,143.00	003,143,00
4) JPFA/ 2004 Tax Allocation Bond	Wells Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	49.049.545.18	2.108.036.00	BPTTE						9 60 000 007 0	
Subordinate Tax Allocation Bonds				20000001							+	2,108,036.00
5) Tenth TWP/ 2006 Tax Allocation Bond Series A	Weils Fargo Bank	Non-Housing Projects	45 649 013 00	2 956 824 00	STITE	ADE 019 00	217 450 00	217 450 00	400 010 00	20 027 110	-	
Non Bond Debt			20,010,010	2,000,024,00	11111	00.210,004	00'005'110	00.0004,716	406,012.00	317,450.00	1,182,450.00	2,956,824.00
5) Loan from LMIHF	LMIHE	Loan for 2009-10 SEBAF Payment	5 865 000 00		STIGO							5
7) Loan from State of CA/ DOF	DOF	Loan for 2010-11 SEBAE Payment	1 382 503 17		22.100		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH				9	
Pass Through - Agreement and Statutory			,302,202,1		1						69	
		Payments per CBI 33401 33607 5 and	7									
		33607.7. County distributes to				380						
3) Pass Through - Agreement and Statutory	Contra Costa County		26 930 527 00	33	STIGO						_	83
Bond Fees / Trustee / Consultant / Legal		Г	200000		J. 1. J. 1.						A	
) Trustee Fees	Wells Fardo Bank	Trustee for RDA Bonds	180 000 00	000	STIGG			000				
) Financial Consultant	Caldwell, Flores & Win	Caldwell, Flores & Wint Consultant 2006 Bonds (S&D ± LOC)	25,000,00	00.00		00 000 10	and the second	00.00			4	
Legal Fees RDA	Milmby & Assoc DC	Aganos   agai common	29,000.00	25,000.00		25,000.00					8	25,000.00
3) Laga Faas Rond Tristaa	Wolle Form Book	Agency Legal counsel	30,000.00	30,000.00	1		15,000.00		15,000.00		S	30,000.00
1) Legal Fore Bond Ourse	wells rargo bank	ZUUG Bond I rüstee Attorney	20,000.00	20,000.00					20,000.00		S	20,000.00
Legal Fees Dollo Coulse	Ornick, Herrington	2006 Bond Counsel	25,000.00	25,000.00	RPTTF				25,000.00		S	25.000.00
Legal rees opecial counsel	Fulbright & Jaworski	Special Counsel for 2006 Bond	15,750.00	15,750.00	RPTTF	IN COURT WITH THE TOTAL TO THE			15,750.00		S	15,750.00
Julegal rees bond instee	Union Bank	Letter of Credit Attorney 2006 Bond	20,000.00	20,000.00	RPTTF				20,000,00		G.	20 000 00
Property Lax												
Property Tax	Contra Costa County	Property Tax RDA Property	35,000.00	17,500.00	ADMIN						17 500 00 \$	17 500 00
3) Property Tax	Roman Catholic Bisho	Roman Catholic Bishog Property Tax for Willow MHP	26,000.00	13.000.00	ADMIN						+	19,000,00
Audit Fee											+	2,000,00
) Annual Audit	Maze & Associates	Audit	27 605 00	25,375,00	ADMIN			00 075 00			•	00 000 00
Administrative Fees								20,010,00			9	00.676,65
Administrative Fees	Successor Agency	5% of total	334,757,85	334.757.85	ADMIN	55 702 98	55 702 08	55 702 09	55 700 00	EE 700 00	\$ 30000	20 424 000
0						200	20:10	20,700,000	00'1 05'00	00,192,30	-	00:707,400
2)											9 6	
3)											A	
1)			8								8	
2)								2 m			S	
33)						100					\$	
											\$	•
Totals	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100			1		_	1			- 1	9	
			\$ 154,881,585.70 \$	\$ 7,029,914.85		\$ 486,804.98	\$ 388,242.98	\$ 398,617.98	\$ 557,554.98	\$ 373,242.98	\$ 4.825,450.95 \$	7.029.914.85